

**AN EQUIVALENT CONDITION FOR
UNIFORM CONVERGENCE**

BY
JOHN HOLLADAY AND ANDREW SOBCZYK



Reprinted from the **AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL MONTHLY**
Vol. LXIII, No. 1, January, 1956

AN EQUIVALENT CONDITION FOR UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

JOHN HOLLADAY and ANDREW SOBczyk, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory

Given a pointwise convergent sequence of functions from a compact space, it is known that a sufficient condition for uniform convergence is equicontinuity. For the usual type of countable sequence, equicontinuity is also necessary. (See [1], Theorems 24 and 25.) The main purpose of this note is to present another necessary and sufficient condition for uniform convergence of a pointwise convergent sequence of continuous mappings from a compact Hausdorff space. At the end of the note, some generalizations are stated.

One consequence of the following theorem is the Dini theorem on uniform convergence of a monotone sequence. ([1], Theorem 26.)

1. THEOREM. *Let S be a compact space, and R a space with a metric $d[\cdot, \cdot]$. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of continuous mappings on S to R , which converges pointwise to a mapping g . Then $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly if and only if (1) g is continuous, and (2) for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ and a $\delta > 0$, such that whenever $n > m$ and $d[f_i(s), g(s)] < \delta$, then $d[f_{i+n}(s), g(s)] < \epsilon$.*

Proof: The necessity of (1) is well known, and the necessity of (2) is an immediate consequence of the definition of uniform convergence.

To prove sufficiency, choose $\epsilon > 0$ and let m and δ be as given by (2). Since $\{f_n\}$ converges pointwise to g , for any $s_0 \in S$, there exists an integer i so that $d[f_i(s_0), g(s_0)] < \delta$. Using continuity of f_i and of g , there exists a neighborhood of s_0 , such that for s in this neighborhood, we have $d[f_i(s), g(s)] < \delta$. By compactness of S , there exists a finite set of integers i_1, \dots, i_p , such that for every $s \in S$, one of these integers i will satisfy $d[f_i(s), g(s)] < \delta$. Define k to be the largest of the integers $m+i_1, \dots, m+i_p$. Then for $n > k$ and $s \in S$, there will be an integer i such that $n > m+i$ and $d[f_i(s), g(s)] < \delta$. Consequently, for $n > k$ and all $s \in S$, we have $d[f_n(s), g(s)] < \epsilon$, and the convergence is uniform.

If $g(\cdot)$ of the above theorem is a constant mapping, then it is not required that the topology on R be metric (or have some other uniformity specified). This remark leads to the following corollary.

2. COROLLARY. *Let Q be a closed subset of a topological space R , and $\{f_n\}$ any sequence of continuous mappings from a compact space S into R . Then for each open set O containing Q , there exists an integer $k = k(O)$ independent of s such that $f_n(s) \in O$ for all s and all $n > k$, if and only if (1) for each $s \in S$, there exists an integer $k_s(O)$ such that for $n > k_s$, $f_n(s) \in O$, and (2) for each neighborhood N of Q , there exists a positive integer m and a neighborhood M of Q , such that whenever $n > m$ and $f_i(s) \in M$, then $f_{i+n}(s) \in N$.*

Proof: Identify the points of Q . Then let the mapping g be the constant mapping of S into the point Q , and apply the preceding remark.

It might be supposed that if the condition of compactness were removed from Theorem 1 that the theorem would still be true. However, consider the sequence of homomorphisms of the locally compact group of real numbers into the group of complex numbers as given by $f_n(x) = xe^{i/n}$. Although the condition holds here and the convergence is uniform on every bounded set of real numbers, the sequence $\{f_n\}$ does not converge uniformly.

If we consider a sequence $\{f_n\}$ which converges uniformly to g and for which $f_1 = g$ but $f_n \neq g$ for some $n > 1$, we see that the number m of the previous theorem must sometimes be greater than zero no matter how δ is chosen. The next theorem shows that for the case where the f_n 's are iterations of a single mapping, m may always be taken to be zero.

3. THEOREM. *Let S be a compact space with a metric $d[\cdot, \cdot]$, and f a continuous mapping of S into S . Suppose that the sequence of iterations of f , $\{f^n\}$, converges pointwise to a mapping g . Then $\{f^n\}$ converges uniformly if and only if (1) g is continuous, and (2) for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that if $d[s, g(s)] < \delta$, then for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have $d[f^n(s), g(s)] < \epsilon$.*

Proof: Sufficiency of the condition is easily established by applying Theorem 1 with $m = 0$.

To prove necessity, by Theorem 1 there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ and a positive integer m , such that for $n > m$ and $d[f^i(s), g(s)] < \delta_1$, we have $d[f^{i+n}(s), g(s)] < \epsilon$. Since f is a continuous function and $f^n(s)$ converges to $g(s)$ for $s \in S$, it follows that for $s \in S$, $f[g(s)] = g(s)$. By continuity of f^i for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and by compactness of S , there is a δ with $0 < \delta < \delta_1$, such that for $s_1, s_2 \in S$, and $d[s_2, g(s_1)] < \delta$, $f^i(s_2)$ is in the ϵ -neighborhood of $g(s_1)$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Therefore, if $0 < n \leq m$ and $d[f^i(s), g(s)] < \delta$, we have that $f^{i+n}(s)$ is in the ϵ -neighborhood of $g(s)$. But since $\delta < \delta_1$, this is also true if $n > m$, and so the theorem is proved.

Again, if $g(\cdot)$ is a constant mapping, then the existence of a metric (or other uniformity) on R is not required.

4. COROLLARY. *Let Q be a closed subset of a compact space S , and f a continuous mapping of S into S . Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(S) \subset Q$ if and only if (1) for each $s \in S$ and each neighborhood N of Q , there exists a positive integer m such that $f^m(s) \in N$ for $n > m$, and (2) for each neighborhood N_1 of Q there exists a neighborhood N_2 of Q such that $f^n(N_2) \subset N_1$ for all positive integers n .*

Proof: Identify the points of Q , and apply the preceding remark and the fact that $S \supset f(S) \supset f^2(S) \supset \dots$.

Consider the case where S is the interval $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $f(s) = s^2$. Then we have an example where the second part of the condition of Theorem 3 holds, but where g is not continuous. If the point $s = 0$ is identified with the point $s = 1$, then the function g is continuous but the second half of the condition of Theorem 3 does not hold.

5. Definition. Let J be a Moore-Smith sequence [2] and let C be a set of conditions on ordered pairs of elements of the sequence. Then let C be said to be a *uniformity* on J , if and only if C satisfies the following requirements:

a) Given j_1, j_2 and $j_3 \in J$ so that j_3 follows j_2 , any condition of C which is satisfied by (j_1, j_2) must be satisfied by (j_1, j_3) .

b) Given any element $j \in J$ and condition $c \in C$, there exists an element $j' \in J$ such that (j, j') satisfies c .

c) Given $j \in J$, there exists a condition in C such that whenever (j_1, j_2) satisfies the condition, then j_2 must follow j .

For J the set of positive integers, C may consist of the set of conditions $\{c_m\}$ where each c_m corresponds to the non-negative integer m such that (j_1, j_2) satisfies c_m if and only if $j_2 - j_1 > m$. In this case, Theorem 6, which follows, specializes to Theorem 1.

6. THEOREM. Let J be a Moore-Smith sequence with a uniformity C . Let S be a compact Hausdorff space and R a uniform topological space [3]. For each $j \in J$, let f_j be a continuous mapping of S into R . Let the sequence of mappings $\{f_j\}$ converge pointwise to a mapping g . Then a necessary and sufficient condition that $\{f_j\}$ converges uniformly to g is that g is continuous and that given an index α , there exists a condition $c \in C$ and an index β such that whenever $f_{j_1}(s) \in \beta[g(s)]$ and (j_1, j_2) satisfies c , then $f_{j_2}(s) \in \alpha[g(s)]$.

Proof: The proof of this theorem is entirely analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2 may also be generalized to Moore-Smith sequences with a uniformity. Theorem 3 may be generalized to non-metric compact spaces.

References

1. L. M. Graves, *Theory of Functions of Real Variables*, New York, McGraw-Hill, 1946, p. 121.
2. E. H. Moore and H. L. Smith, A general theory of limits, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, vol. 44, 1922, pp. 102-121.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de Mathématique*, Livre III, Chapitre II, *Structures Uniformes*. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 858-1142, Hermann, Paris, 1951, pp. 138-140.